Funding Rural Women’s Organizations in Senegal

Key Findings on Women’s Leadership and Food Security
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Allocation of 2012 grants according to issue area (New Field’s ‘Rural Women Creating Change’ Program)
Allocation of 2012 grants according to approach (New Field’s ‘Rural Women Creating Change’ Program)
Casamance Focus Area 2006-2013
$3.5 million awarded to 20 organizations, with
$1.4 regranted to 116 rural women’s groups in community grants
2013 Evaluation

Key Questions

- What changes have taken place for rural women’s groups and their members as a result of the community grants?
- What were the unintended consequences (positive and negative) on rural women, their families, organizations and communities?
- To what extent do women’s groups have control over their activities and the resources at their disposal?

Key Challenges

- Post conflict environment
- Limited written information and data
- Large number of community grantees
- Low status of rural women
- Lack of direct funding to rural women's organizations
Methodology

Track 1: Rapid Rural Evaluation (ACA, Dakar)
1, 843 people interviewed in 63 focus groups
In-depth study of 8 rural women’s groups with at least 2 community grants
Methodology

Track 2: Exchange Learning (World Education, Ziguinchor)

- 24 rural women animators trained to conduct surveys
- 15 rural women trained as reporters to create 30 media reports
- 79 women from 35 women’s groups interviewed
- 41 programs broadcast from five community radio stations in local languages
Changes in Women’s Leadership

- 65 of the 379 women interviewed were elected as district counselors
- 45 became members of advisory committees for the implementation of regional development projects
- 26 became members of parent-teacher associations and mutual credit societies
100% of women who received training said they were better able to express themselves during meetings.
Personal Development and Family Authority

63% stated that they are now recognized by their husbands as active, autonomous providers for their families.

Consequently, women reported that they were now consulted by their husbands in decisions relating to:

- Their children’s education
- The family budget
- Health and safety
- Family marriages
- Family ceremonies
- Important life events
An In-Depth Look at Asset Management

**What Was Purchased With Grants**

- Wells
- Agricultural Inputs
- Fencing
- Irrigation Systems
- Large Agricultural Equipment
- Small Gardening Equipment
- Revolving Credit Group

*Based on evaluation of 7 rural women’s organizations with a total membership of 604 (253 were interviewed).
How Women Divide Their Harvest

- Stored for family consumption: 60%
- Sold at market: 20%
- Used to pay down debt or to barter: 10%
- Given to parents or non-local relatives: 5%
- Donated to those in need: 5%
Changes in Individual Income

Average Change in Individual Members' Income
Before and After Grants

Women's Community Organization

- Aboténi
- Alateintu
- Balal Nafooré
- Kabuka
- Kahankene
- Kambeng Ebinay
- Kembeng

FCFA

Before Grant

After Grant
Impact on Family Nutrition

- 78% reported improved family nutrition
- 55% reported better food quality
- 36% reported increased food quantities
Impact on Family Health

72% of women surveyed said they were better able to respond to their families’ health needs.

Specific key changes included:

- Fewer illnesses due to reduction in heavy field work
- Increased use of maternal and reproductive health services
- Improved access to timely consultations and treatment
- Adoption of recommended preventative health practices
- Changes in the prevalence and practice of FGM
Impact on Children’s Education

- 73% of respondents said they were better able to cover the costs associated with their children’s education.
- 53% said they were able to keep their children in school beyond early education.
Full reports available at www.newfieldfound.org